

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1911.

(Courts)

File No. 56-11

Serial Nos. 1-4

Papers Filed.

Month March 1912

SUBJECT.

*Inspection of Courts of Magistrates
by Sessions Judges*

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

cb 246-10 1-2

LATER REFERENCES.

cb 56-11 5-9

1911-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

Ct. File No. 56-11

FILE HEADING.

Inspection of Courts of magistrates by
Sessions Judges.

From

The District Magistrate of Chitaldurg

No.

80

Dated 8.8.1911. Received 11.8.1911.

Diary No.

434

Enclos.

one

Spare Copies.

Serial No.

1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwarded a Copy of the notes of inspection of the Court of the 1st Class Magistrate, Chitaldurg, held by the Sessions Judge Shimoga and states that the portions marked therein will show that there is danger of dual control over magistrates from the continuance of the present practice.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd for orders. Attention is invited to the discussions in Court File N 246-10 H.C. (122) Paras marked by the St. Magte. in the Report are 11, 16, 21, 37, 44, 51, 78, 85.

15.8.1911

SW (11)

15/9/11

Is a certain extent there will

Serial
No.

no doubt & be dualty of Control
under present arrangement but there
is no great harm in this.

8/8/11 Sd

Secy 3

It would perhaps be better if Session
Judges confined themselves to merely
pointing out errors & left it to the
Magte to take action in the
direction of calling for explanations
ordering enquiring &c.

2nd C^r)

CSN
18/8/11
Sd

4)

This is not the first case
in which this Magte has shown
that he is hypercritical and
ever ready to find fault with
orders passed by superior au-
thority. Before dealing with
the matter of this letter, I should
like to know if such Comm^{ns}
should not pass through the Ch.
Ct. Is not the S.M. Subordi-
nate to that Court just in

Serial
No.

the same degree as other Magis-
trates are to the D-^{un}? If
this view is right, the letter
may be returned to be
submitted through that Ct.

19/8/11

[Signature]

5

~~Put up draft~~ Put up draft

21/8/11

Ct

6) Draft subd

HC3 (122) SN (11)

22-8-11

23-8-11

7 The matter seems to be
one that should properly come thro' the
Ch. Ct.

8 draft returning the letter for
submission thro' that Court is put up.

23/8/11 *[Signature]*

[Signature]

800 per 1 month
5/9/11

2nd / Draft appd by 2nd Council
24.8.11

19 Issue

25/8/11

Serial
No.

2

To the District Magistrate of
Chitaldurg N^o 724 of 29.8.11.
Returns his letter (Serial N^o 11) for
submission through the Chief Comr

3

From the Registrar, Chief Comr.
N^o 1284 of 11.9.11.
Forwards the District Magistrate's
letter referred to above with the
notes of inspection by the Sessions
Judge. Shimoga, therein enclosed
and states that the Judges are
unable to see that there is anything
in the notes which is in any way
open to objection.

11

Sd/- for orders.

18.9.11 18/9/11

The letter may perhaps
be recorded

19/9/11 Sd/-

See 7) 10

2nd C^r & Dewan.

14

Govt may agree with
the ch et.

13732

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

22.9.11

It may be pre-
-mahne

GPB-50.00-12-8-10

21/9/11

21/9/11

Sd/-

Serial
No.

*Already drafted
+ chd. from me*
- Matric to send an opinion. *1/12*
Simply say "denied" *1/12*

16 Please pump draft regarding Hubert
10/12

17 Draft subd.
1/12
28-2/12
20 (25) 1/12
20/12

1/12
24/2/12
SA
24/2/12

18 SA
29/2/12

19
Draft appd by first councillor
1.3.12
1/12

2
28/12
4/3/12

12/3/12

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 56

of 1917

Serial
No.

No.

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

no. 22605 89.3.12

Records the above

NOTE—Contn: sheet.

Confidential

G.R.S. 26]

298

Ct File No. 56-4

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 7724 Encl 1 file

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Cts)
the 19

To

The District Magistrate
of Chitaldrug

Date of issue 29-8-44

Signed by Mr S. Hingamanga.

Sir,

I am desired to
for submission through the Chief
return herewith your
original letter N 80 dated
the 8th August 1944,
along with its enclosure,
in the matter of the
inspection of the Compts
of Magistrates by
Sessions Judges.

I have, ^{over} Fe

SUBJECT.

SA

For Secy to
Govt.

266
AC3 (122)
22-8-44

SN (11)
27/8/44
C. H. Hingamanga

7747
13/9 19 11/12
From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 11th September 1911

Despatched 12th 1911

Received

General No. 1284
No. 96

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Cts 56-11
R.N. 3

Forwards the letter
No. 80 of the 8th Sept 11 to
the Secy: recd: from
the Of. Mysore. Chifaldon
together with the dis-
tinction note refd. to
therein and states that
the judges of the Chief
Court are unable to see
that there is any thing
in the note which is in
any way open to ob-
jection.

No. 1284 Gov. file
96

2

Bangalore, Dated 11th September 1911

128

From,

V.A. Raja Ratna Mudaliar, Esquire,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.


S i r,

I am directed to forward the accompanying letter No. 80 dated the 8th August 1911 to your address, received from the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug, together with the Inspection Note referred to therein and to state that the Judges of the Chief Court are unable to see that there is anything in the note which is in any way open to objection.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



REGISTRAR.

A.V.

130

Confidential

No 80
Encl

Office of the District
Magistrate, Chitaldroog
Dated Chitaldroog 19.11.11 434

1011-1012	
SECTION	Courts
FILE NO.	56-11
S. R. A. NO.	(1)

From K Chandy Esquire BA
District Magistrate

To C Balasubramanian Esq Esquire BA
Offg Secretaries to the Government
Jt & the Maha Raja of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept
Bangalore

Sir
In forwarding herewith, Memo
No $\frac{265}{110}$ dated 12th April 1911 received
from the Sessions Court Shimoga
on 27th July 1911, (Inspection of the Court
of the Assistant Commissioner and 1st
Class Magistrate Chitaldroog by the Sessions Judge Shimoga District)
I have the honor to invite your kind
reference to the marginally-lined
paragraphs thereof, and to state
that these paras will show clearly that there
is danger of dual control over Magistrates
from a continuance of the present
System as I had apprehended. Several
points on which the Sessions Judge wants
reports to be sent to him, are enclosed within
the Control of the District Magistrate.

vide my No 432. d. 24-4-11

Yours
H. V. K.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

District Magistrate

KB

~~Confidential~~
11/3
190

From The District Magistrate
Chitradoot

To The Secretary to govt
Genl & Rev Spt
Banpale

Dated

Despatched

8 August 1911

Received

General No.

80

No.

Enclosure No. |

Regarding the
discrepancy over
magistrates consequent
on inspection of their
coms to the Session,
Jude

13
G.R.S. 28.]

alt- 6/2
File No. 56-11.

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

9747

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(65)

READ--

Letter N^o 1284/96 dated

the

19

to 16th September 1911 from

Date of issue 9.3.12

the Registrar Chief Court

Signed by

Mr S. K. Ranganathaiah

of Mysore, forwarding letter
N^o 80 dated the 8th August

ORDER THEREON.—No.

1911 from the District Magis-
trate of Chitalang, in the
matter of the suspicion of
the Court of the Sessions
Judge, Shimoga Division of the
over

SUBJECT:

copy
8/3/12
[Signature]

Court of the Assoc. Comm.
and 1st Class Magistrate,
~~Philadelp~~ Sub-Division,
and stating that the judges of
the Chief Court are unable
to see that there is anything
in the ^{Sessions Judge's inspection} note which is in
any way open to objection

Order there on N. - J 2605 / 165-56-11-4 & 9-3-12

Recorded.

Sd/-y to Govt

To

Sd/-

The Registrar, Chief Court.

(returning the inspection report)

H/-

11/3/12